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ABSTRACT

Human echinococcosis remains a complex problem that may affect several organs, and requires mostly surgical management. We present two cases with uncommon locations of hydatid cysts that were successfully managed in our hospital during the last two years. The first case was a 62-year-old woman with a multicystic lesion in her right thigh that was radically excised, and histology confirmed the diagnosis of echinococcosis. The second case was a 78-year-old man with multiple large intraabdominal hydatid cysts that required a complex operation, including splenectomy, partial removal of the liver cyst wall and evacuation of the content, and excision of pelvic, mesenteric cysts, and suprahepatic cysts. Both cases have not shown any sign of recurrence to date. Since the disease is still endemic in certain parts of the world and pharmaceutical agents are not radical, specific surgical procedures remain the treatment of choice with good results and acceptable morbidity, mortality and recurrence rates.
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INTRODUCTION

Human echinococcosis is a parasitic disease known since the ancient times, Hippocrates first described it as being located in the liver, and introduced methods of treatment. The disease is still endemic in many countries, such as Greece, other parts of Eastern Europe, South America, Australia and South Africa. It is caused by the tapeworm Echinococcus with E. granulosus being the most common form and the most frequent aetiological agent, although occasionally E. multilocularis is the infective agent.1–4 In this report, we present two cases with uncommon location of hydatid disease that were successfully managed in our hospital during the last two years.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

A 62-year-old Caucasian woman, a permanent resident of our city without any history of travelling abroad and occupied with housekeeping, presented with a mass in her right thigh since 1995. There was a progressive increase in the size of the mass during the last years, and it had been painful for the last three months. Serological test (counter immuno-electrophoresis test [CIE]) was diagnostic for echinococcosis, while computed tomography (CT) revealed multiple cysts in the anterior part of her thigh between the muscle and subcutaneous fat (Fig. 1). The patient underwent a radical excision of that multicystic mass, and histology confirmed the diagnosis of echinococcosis. The patient had an uncomplicated postoperative period; she received three monthly cycles of albendazole (Zentel, SmithKline Beecham, Athens, Greece) 400 mg twice a day. To date, she has not presented any sign, neither clinical or imaging, of recurrence.

Case 2

A 78-year-old Caucasian man, who is a resident in a village of our island, has no history of travelling abroad and works as a animal herder, presented with dysuria for a period of three weeks. He had abdominal ultrasonography (US) that revealed multiple intra-abdominal cysts. CIE was abnormal, indicative of hydatid disease. CT showed multiple large hydatid masses in the anterior part of the right thigh.
cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) revealed a daughter cyst in the common bile duct, and it was successfully removed by sphincterotomy. The patient had the Foley catheter removed from the gallbladder two weeks later, following a normal cholangiography. He again received three monthly cycles of albendazole 400 mg twice a day. He had his loop colostomy closed four months later, and on follow-up, his clinical examination, laboratory tests and CT do not show any echinococcosis recurrence to date.

**DISCUSSION**

Even though simple liver cysts are the most common cystic lesions of the liver worldwide, echinococcosis remains a frequent cause of liver cysts in endemic areas. More than 90% of hydatid cysts occur in the liver, lungs, or both. Symptomatic cysts have been reported occasionally in the spleen, kidney, peritoneal cavity, skin and muscles (incidence of 2% each), and rarely in the heart, brain, vertebral column, ovaries, pancreas, gallbladder, thyroid gland, breast, and bones (incidence of 1% or less each). The diagnosis of uncomplicated hydatid cyst of the liver depends on clinical suspicion. Symptomatic cysts are usually larger than 5 cm, mild abdominal pain in the epigastrium or right upper quadrant, hepatomegaly or a palpable abdominal mass are quite common symptoms. The diagnosis may be confirmed by serological tests, such as indirect haemagglutination or a positive result in the radioallergosorbent test, the complement fixation test, and the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Our first patient presented with pain in her right thigh, while the second one was asymptomatic.

Diagnosis is established by imaging and specific serological tests, such as the indirect haemagglutination test, the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay that yields a positive result in more than 90% of the patients, the radioallergosorbent test, the complement fixation test, and the CIE, with the latter being used for both our cases with excellent results. US, CT, and magnetic resonance imaging have an almost 100% specificity and sensitivity, and could reveal the morphological characteristics of the cyst, its exact position in relationship with the surrounding tissues, the number of concomitant cysts, and also help to differentiate hydatid cysts from other lesions such as abscesses or tumours, especially when daughter cyst(s) or hydatid sand are found. CT was used in both our cases with excellent diagnostic results. ERCP may demonstrate possible communications of the cyst with cysts, most of them with daughter cysts and hydatid sand. The cysts were located between the diaphragm and liver (two cysts, with sizes of 5 cm and 7 cm), within the liver (two cysts: the first in the right lobe, 7 cm in size, with focal wall calcifications; and the second, close to the liver hilum, 6 cm in size), spleen (one measuring 11 cm), pelvis, and fixed to the small bowel mesentery (Fig. 2). The patient had a preoperative treatment with three monthly cycles of albendazole (Zentel, SmithKline Beecham, Athens, Greece) 400 mg twice a day, in order to improve the surgical results, and to reduce the risk of disease recurrence.

The patient then underwent a complex operation. Splenectomy and excision of the pelvic cysts were performed. The sigmoid colon was firmly attached to the inner cyst layer were peformed. Drain tubes were placed inside the cysts. The bigger one had developed bile leakage after the excision of the inner layer. In order to have the biliary tree decompressed, a no. 18 size Foley catheter was placed in the gallbladder. The suprapancreatic cysts with a part of the transverse colon, which was invaded by the cyst wall inflammation, was removed. The transverse colon continuity was achieved by a one-layer anastomosis with 3-0 Vicryl suture. Excision of the omentum, due to its fixation on the multiple cysts, was also performed.

The patient developed cholangitis on the sixth postoperative day. Endoscopic retrograde
the biliary tree, either preoperatively or intraoperatively. Moreover, ERCP provides therapeutic manoeuvres, such as stent placement, balloon dilatation and sphincterotomy. In our second patient who presented with postoperative cholangitis, ERCP proved to be very helpful in the patient’s diagnosis and treatment.

Medical treatment with antihelminthic drugs, such as mebendazole and albendazole, has been introduced since 1977 as preoperative or postoperative chemotherapy. Many authors have reported that these agents can achieve clinical improvement of the patients, increased life expectancy, shrinkage of the cysts, sterilisation of the cyst fluid and changes in serological reactions. On the other hand, besides the low concentration of these drugs in the hydatid fluid and the persistence of living parasites within the cysts in almost half of the patients, there are significant side effects, such as haematological abnormalities, hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity and teratogenicity, that should not be ignored. Both our patients received albendazole (the first postoperatively and the second pre- and postoperatively), without any serious side effects.

Surgery still remains the mainstay in the management of hydatid disease. The general principle for surgical treatment is the removal of cyst contents without contaminating the patient, followed by appropriate management of any remaining cavity. Packs immersed in hypertonic saline solution, placed in the operative field, are widely used, without serious complications, in order to prevent spillage of scolecites or daughter cysts into the peritoneal cavity during evacuation. The same solution should be irrigated in the empty cyst cavity. These principles were applied to both patients.

Concerning the remaining cavity, a few surgical techniques have been used with good results, namely: (a) external drainage of the cyst cavity, used for the liver cysts of the second patient; and (b) evacuation and partial cystectomy followed by omentoplasty. The latter is the most effective method for a conservative approach for liver hydatidosis. In our second patient, omentoplasty could not be performed, because the omentum was fixed on the cysts and was resected. Percutaneous and laparoscopic techniques for the management of hepatic hydatid cysts are not used widely, due to the risk of anaphylaxis and/or dissemination.

Intrabiliary rupture with resultant cholangitis is the commonest complication of liver hydatid disease and requires common bile duct exploration with extraction of the hydatid cysts, followed by primary closure and T-tube drainage. Our second patient presented intraoperatively with bile leaking into one of the liver cysts, and Foley catheter drainage of the gallbladder was performed in order to have the biliary tree decompressed. We drained the gallbladder instead of the bile duct, because the procedure was much simpler, faster and easier. Unfortunately, the patient later required a common bile exploration by ERCP, because he presented with postoperative cholangitis.

Large splenic hydatid cysts are treated by splenectomy. Small superficial cysts located in one pole of the spleen can be treated by conservative techniques, such as partial splenectomy and unroofing of the cyst with omentoplasty. Uncommon locations of hydatid cysts are treated by excision of the cyst alone or en-bloc with a part of the whole organ that is involved, e.g. cholecystectomy in the case of cysts within the gallbladder, and cyst excision for cysts located in the soft tissues, as in our first case. In conclusion, when surgeon face uncommon locations of hydatid cysts, after the appropriate preoperative evaluation and surgical management, they could achieve a satisfactory clinical outcome with a low morbidity rate and an acceptable recurrence rate.
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