SLOW CONTINUOUS ULTRAFILTRATION (SCUF) - THE SAFE AND EFFICIENT TREATMENT FOR PATIENTS WITH CARDIAC FAILURE AND FLUID OVERLOAD
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ABSTRACT

Slow Continuous Ultrafiltration (SCUF) was first used in 1980 as an alternative mode of fluid removal for patients with oliguric acute renal dysfunction from whatever cause. The advantage of this treatment is that haemodynamic parameters remain stable in the presence of significant removal of fluid. We are describing our experience in 7 patients (age: 57 ± 9 years; 4 male, 3 female) with cardiac failure and fluid overload who had undergone 8 sessions of SCUF. All of them had renal impairment and were resistant to diuretics. Blood lines were attached to a Kawasumi Renak-E dialyser (Cuprohane membrane) in series using Gambro AK10 dialysis blood pump. The following parameters were monitored: Blood pump (Qb): 175 ± 26 ml/min, time (T): 393 ± 49 minutes. Venous pressure averaged 55 ± 24 mmHg. We achieved ultrafiltration of 2.189 ± 699 ml/session or 5.5 ± 1.7 ml/hr. There was no significant change in blood pressure [systolic pre: 143 ± 14, post: 136 ± 13 mmHg, not significant; diastolic pre: 87 ± 10, post: 83 ± 10 mmHg, not significant and pulse rate [pre: 87 ± 9 vs post: 84 ± 2 per minute, not significant. Heparin dosage averaged 275 ± 26 IU/hr during the SCUF.

We conclude that SCUF is beneficial to diuretic resistant patients with cardiac failure and fluid overload in whom dialysis treatment is not required.
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INTRODUCTION

Fluid control remains a problem in patients with cardiac failure or fluid overload who are not responding to standard diuretic therapy. The use of larger volumes of fluid for hyperalimentation or as a vehicle for pressor agents or antibiotics may be compromised in these patients as a result of poor urine output. A higher dose of diuretics is commonly used, but the result is not always satisfactory. We describe our experience of using Slow Continuous Ultrafiltration (SCUF) to control fluid balance in 7 diuretic resistant patients with cardiac failure and fluid overload. These patients did not require dialysis treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seven patients (4 male, 3 female) with cardiac failure and fluid overload in Singapore General Hospital were identified for the study. Mean age was 57 ± 9 years (range from 38 - 63 years). They had undergone 8 sessions of SCUF. All of them had renal failure with creatinine ranging from 500 to 900 umol/l and were resistant to diuretic treatment. As for the underlying aetiology of renal impairment, two had diabetic nephropathy, four had chronic glomerulonephritis and in one the aetiology was unknown.

Vascular access was established with a double-lumen catheter placed in the subclavian vein. Haemodialysis blood lines were attached to the arterial and venous end of the catheter and a Kawasumi Renak-E dialyser (Cuprohane membrane) was placed in series. The Gambro AK10 dialysis blood pump was used to drive the blood through the circuit. Heparin was infused continuously at 250 U per hour into the arterial line using heparin infusion pump. The ultrafiltrate was collected in a standard urine collection apparatus. The rate of ultrafiltrate removal was determined by the pump speed and the desired rate of fluid removal in 6 to 8 hours. The SCUF circuit is shown in Fig 1.

Fig 1 - Circuit of Slow Continuous Ultrafiltration (SCUF)
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STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical evaluation was carried out using two-tailed Student's t-tests for paired data. A "p" level of 0.05 established significance.

RESULTS
Blood pump averaged 175 ± 26 ml/min. Continuous ultrafiltration was maintained for an average of 393 ± 49 minutes at a mean ultrafiltration rate of 5.5 ± 1.7 ml/hr or an ultrafiltration of 2,189 ± 699 ml/session. Venous pressure averaged 55 ± 24 mmHg and there was no significant change in blood pressure [systolic pre: 143 ± 14, post: 136 ± 13 mmHg, not significant; diastolic pre: 87 ± 10, post: 83 ± 10 mmHg, not significant]. Pulse rate was unchanged [pre: 87 ± 9 vs post: 84 ± 2 per minute, not significant]. Heparin dosage averaged 275 ± 26 IU/hr during the SCUF. No complications associated with the procedure were noted. All patients did well after the procedure and only one patient required a repeat SCUF.

DISCUSSION
This study showed that SCUF is beneficial to diuretic resistant patients with cardiac failure and fluid overload in whom dialysis treatment is not required. Bergstrom et al noted that the removal of a significant volume of fluid using a dialyser was not accompanied by hypotensive episodes in patients unable to tolerate an equal volume loss during standard dialysis. Subsequently, Paganini et al modified this form of treatment for patients with oliguric or anuric acute renal failure requiring fluid removal and termed it SCUF. Haemodynamic stability of SCUF is thought to be secondary to the active participation of the venous system in maintaining both pre-load and central cardiopulmonary volumes despite reduction of total peripheral resistance and total water. The superior haemodynamic stability of slow continuous ultrafiltration can be considered as an important adjunctive form of therapy in cases with overhydration or cardiac failure. SCUF enabled us to mobilise excess volume and continue delivery of therapeutic agents in overhydrated patients. Establishing a negative fluid balance and allowing for the use of ultrafiltrate as a base of electrolyte determinations has further enhanced the therapeutic validity of SCUF as a dewatering technique.

Fluid balance has been one of the primary goals in the treatment of patients with cardiac and renal failure. Patients presenting with severe cardiac failure, especially those in intensive care units, often receive a large volume of fluid in the form of pressor agents, antibiotics or hyperalimentation. This volume imbalance leads to fluid excess states or suboptimal delivery of therapy, for example inadequate caloric delivery secondary to imposed fluid restriction.
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