# TOTAL AND REGIONAL BONE MINERAL DENSITIES IN WOMEN WITH COLLES' FRACTURES: A COMPARA-TIVE STUDY WITH NORMAL MATCHED CONTROLS

F H W Wong, K K Pun

# ABSTRACT

Total body and regional bone mineral densities (BMDs) were measured in 34 women with past Colles' fracture and 34 age- and sex-matched controls using the Norland XR-26 dual energy X-ray bone densitometer. The results showed that in patients with Colles' fracture affecting the left forearms, the BMD at the ultradistal 2.5 cm region was significantly lower in the right forearm when compared with the left. This difference was not statistically significant among patients with fractures affecting the right or both forearms. The patients were also found to have lower BMDs in the femoral regions  $(0.600 \pm 0.010 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ in patients versus } 0.655 \pm 0.019 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ in controls})$ , pelvis  $(0.679 \pm 0.009 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ in patients versus } 0.728 \pm 0.020 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ in controls})$  and spine  $(0.710 \pm 0.018 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ in patients versus } 0.730 \pm 0.030 \text{ g/cm}^2 \text{ in controls})$  when compared with the controls. No such difference could be demonstrated in the head, trunks or arms. These data suggested that women with past Colles' fracture might be more prone to fractures of spine and femoral regions. Bone mineral densities in the weight-bearing regions, including femur and spine correlated strongly with each other (femoral neck versus lumbar spine, r=0.64, p<0.0001). Sites from the same anatomic regions, namely the femoral regions had highly correlated BMD values (femoral neck versus Ward's triangle, r=0.91, SEE=0.05, p<0.0001), while poorer correlation was found among unrelated regions, such as between left ultradistal forearm and femoral neck (r=0.43, SEE=0.10, p<0.05).

Keywords: Colles' fracture, Southern Chinese, bone mineral density, osteoporosis

SINGAPORE MED J 1993; Vol 34: 229-232

### INTRODUCTION

Colles' fracture is a major complication of osteoporosis in Hong Kong. An annual incidence of 10,000 is estimated in the population of 6 million<sup>(1-3)</sup>. Colles' fracture is generally considered as a manifestation of Type 1 (postmenopausal) osteoporosis<sup>(4)</sup>, in which there is disproportionate and accelerated loss of trabecular bone and hence characteristically occurs at skeletal sites containing large amounts of trabecular bone eg the distal forearm<sup>(5)</sup>. Type 1 osteoporosis mainly affects women within 25 years of menopause and is believed to result mainly from factors related to oestrogen deficiency<sup>(6)</sup>.

There have been much interest in assessing the importance of trauma versus bone loss in the pathogenesis of Colles' frac-

Department of Medicine University of Hong Kong Queen Mary Hospital Pokfulam Road Hong Kong

F H W Wong, BSc(Hons), MPhil, DipOccHyg Environmental Protection Officer

Centre for Biomedical Research on Aging, UCSF 1710 Scott Street P O Box 7921 San Franciseo CA 94120, USA

K K Pun, MD, PhD, MRCP Associate Professor

Correspondence to: Mr F H W Wong Environmental Protection Officer Solid Waste Control Group Hong Kong Government Environmental Protection Dept 28/F Southorn Centre 130 Hennessy Road Wanchai Hong Kong ture. Although a relationship between fracture and bone mineral density (BMD) in the distal end of the radius is suggested by the data of Nilsson et al<sup>(7)</sup> and Jensen et al<sup>(8)</sup>, studies of the overall bone mineral mass in patients with Colles' fracture have been inconclusive<sup>(8-15)</sup>. Bone mineral density measured in the uninjured radius of women with Colles' fracture has been found to be lower in some studies<sup>(5,8-10)</sup> but not all<sup>(11,12)</sup>. Measurement of BMD of ultradistal radius (distal 2.5 cm of the radius) has succeeded to detect a threshold level above which Colles' fracture was uncommon and below which fractures become more likely as BMD becomes lower<sup>(16)</sup>.

In attempting to identify any increased risk to bone fracture occurrence in patients having shortly recovered from Colles' fracture, their total body and regional BMDs were evaluated and compared to age- and sex-matched normal controls using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Test Subjects**

Thirty-four postmenopausal women with recent Colles' fracture gave informed consent and volunteered for the study. Their mean age was 60.5 (range from 44 to 71) years. Subjects who had any medical disorder associated with metabolic diseases, who were taking medication or drugs known to affect mineral metabolism, or who had habits of smoking or drinking alcohol were identified by questionnaire and excluded from the study. The patients were studied within an average of 9.4 (ranged from 7 to 18) months after their fracture, and have all their casts removed. Thirty-four age-matched healthy women were chosen from hospital staff as normal controls in the study (Table I). The studies were carried out in December 1989.

#### **Bone Mineral Measurement**

Total body and regional BMDs were measured by the Norland XR-26 X-ray bone densitometer (Fort Atkinson, WI) which is operated by the principle of DEXA and has been described in details by the author elsewhere<sup>(17)</sup>. The accuracy and precision of BMD measurements, as documented by repeated in vitro measurements on dedicated step phantom by Kotzi et al<sup>(18)</sup>,

	Patients with Colles' Fracture	Normal Controls
Number	34	34
Age, year	60.5+6.3	60.3+6.0
Height, cm	154.1+5.3	152.9+6.2
Weight, kg	52.8+8.0	51.9+9.2
Age of Menopause (year)	49.1+2.9	51.2+1.5
Menoage, year	11.2+5.0	9.2+4.5
Dominant Arms	Left: 5 Right: 29	Left: 4 Right: 30
Fractured Side	Left: 16 (incl. 5 dominant) Right: 16 Bilateral: 2	-
Time after Fracture (month)	9.4+2.6	-
Time after Removal of cast (month)	6.5+3.1	-

Table I - Clinical Data of the 34 Women with past Colles' fractures and 34 Healthy age- and sex-matched Controls (results expressed in Mean+S.D.)

# Fig 1 - Bone Mineral Density of 2.5 cm Ultradistal Region of the forearm as measured by the Norland XR-26 X-ray Bone Densitometer



was found to be 99% (coefficient of variation around 1%). Repeated in vivo measurements of total body and lumbar spine BMDs on three individuals resulted in precision of 98.5% (ranged from 97.8 to 99.1% for 5 consecutive measurements) and 99.0% (ranged from 98.5 to 99.2% for 5 consecutive measurements) respectively.

Bone mineral measurements were done for each subject (both patients and controls) on ultradistal forearms (both wrists), total body, lumbar spine ( $L_2$  to  $L_4$ ), and left femoral regions.

The side of fractured arms in each patient was noted. The dominant and non-dominant arms of the subjects were also recorded. For the wrist scan, scanning was done from the end of the ulna to a point 10 cm proximal to it. The ultradistal 2.5 cm region of the forearm was chosen for BMD measurement, as shown in Fig 1. The scans were performed in accordance with the standard procedures provided by Norland (XR-26 Operation Manual). The total body scan required about 20 minutes while local scans of the spine, proximal femur and ultradistal forearm required 7, 8 and 5 minutes respectively.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Student's t-test for paired data was used for comparison of BMDs between patients with Colles' fracture and age-matched controls, as well as between fractured and non-fractured ultradistal forearms of patients with Colles' fractures. Linear regression was used to determine the correlations among different regional BMDs.

#### RESULTS

The results are summarised in Table II. The regional distribution of BMDs were similar in both patients and normal controls. The BMD was the highest in the head, followed by the legs, arms, lumbar spine, pelvis and femoral regions. Bone mineral densities were generally lower in women with past

Table II -	Comparison	of Total Bod	ly and Region	nal BMDs
(g/cm <sup>2</sup> ) in	women with	past Colles'	Fractures an	d in Age-
& Sex-matched Controls				

	Women with Colles' Fracture (Mean±SEE)	Controls (Mean±SEE)	P Value (Student's t-lest for paired data)
Total Body	0.65±0.01	0.68±0.01	NS
Head	1.23±0.03	1.38±0.05	NS
Trunk	0.39±0 01	0.39±0 01	NS
Pelvis	0.68±0.01	0.73±0.02	< 0.05
Legs	0.73±0.01	0.78±0.01	< 0.01
Right Arm	0.70±0 04	0.73±0.01	NS
Left Arm	0 67±0.02	0.71±0.01	NS
Lumbar Spine L <sub>2</sub> to L <sub>4</sub>	0.71±0.02	0.78±0.03	< 0.05
Femoral Neck	0.60±0.01	0.66±0.02	< 0.05
Ward's Triangle	0.53±0.03	0.56±0.03	NS
Trochanter	0.51±0.01	0.56±0 02	< 0.005
Left Wrist	0.31±0.02	0 33±0.01	NS
Right Wrist	0.29±0.01	0.32±0 03	NS

SLL. Standard brior of 4 stimate (geon-)

NS : Not Significant

Colles' fracture as compared with their age-matched controls. The difference was found to be significant in regions of pelvis, legs, lumbar spine, femoral neck and trochanter. There was however no significant decrease in BMD over the ultradistal part of the forearms in patients as compared with those of normal controls.

Among patients with past Colles' fractures of the left wrist, the ultradistal BMD was found to be significantly higher on the fractured sides when compared with individual non-fractured sides (p<0.001). Comparable BMDs were however obtained for the two sides in patients with fractured right wrist or with bilateral Colles' fracture (Table III). The BMD was 11% higher, on average, in the dominant ultradistal forearms than in the non-dominant forearms, as determined by measurements made on the 34 normal controls. When comparing the nonfractured side of patients with the dominant side of controls

Fractured side	Left Ultradistal Forearm BMD (g/cm²)	Right Ultradistal Forearm BWD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	T-test (p value)
Left wrist	0.312 <u>+</u> 0.008	0.274 <u>+</u> 0.008	<0.001
Right wrist	0.298 <u>+</u> 0.014	0.300 <u>+</u> 0.024	NS
Bilateral	0.316 <u>+</u> 0.001	0.346 <u>+</u> 0.023	NS

# Table III - Comparison of BMDs of Left versus Right Ultradistal Forearms in Women with past Colles' Fractures (Result expressed in Mean±SEE)

SEE : Standard Error of Estimate (g/cm2)

NS : Not Significant

(25 right, 9 left), the ultradistal BMD was found to be significantly lower in the patients (patients:control =  $0.287\pm0.008:0.330\pm0.013$ ; p<0.05), while no significant difference was observed for comparison between non-fractured side of patients and non-dominant side of controls (patients:controls =  $0.287\pm0.008:0.300\pm0.009$ ; p>0.1).

The correlation coefficients with corresponding standard errors of estimate from the regression lines of BMDs among various skeletal sites are shown in Table IV. The different sets of measurements showed variable degrees of correlations, varying from a low value of r=0.38 for the Ward's triangle-left wrist pair to r=0.91 for the femoral neck-Ward's triangle pair. The pairs of measurements that have a close linear relationship (as measured by the correlation coefficient) and a small enough standard error to allow clinically useful prediction of

# Table IV - Relationship between BMD Measurements at various Skeletal Sites

Correlation Coefficient (SEE)						
	Lumbar Spine	Ward's Triangle	Trochanter	Femoral Neck	Left Wrist	Right
Total Body	0.82 (0.04)	0.61 (0.05)	0.69 (0.05)	0.64 (0.05)	0.60 (0.05)	0.61 (0.05)
Lumbar Spine	-	0.69 (0.11)	0.73 (0.09)	0.64 (0.11)	0.55 (0.11)	0.60 (0.10)
Ward's Triangle	-	•	0.70 (0.05)	0.91 (0.05)	0.38* (0.14)	0.59 (0.12)
Trochanter	-	-		0.79 (0.06)	0.54 (0.08)	0.60 (0.07)
Femoral Neck	-	•	-	-	0.43* (0.10)	0.56 (0.10)
Left Wrist	-	-	-		-	0.49 (0.06)

All r values : p<0.001

# p<0.005 \* p<0.05

SEE: Standard Error of Estimate

BMD of one site to be made from measurement of another site were those taken over the same anatomic region. Example was the femoral regions (femoral neck, Ward's triangle and trochanter). Graphic representations of the regression data for BMDs of the femoral neck versus Ward's triangle, and those of lumbar spine versus right wrist are shown in Fig 2a and 2b.

# DISCUSSION

There is considerable evidence that Colles' fracture is a true osteoporotic fracture. It is more common in postmenopausal women<sup>(19-24)</sup>, and bone mineral mass is less in this agc group<sup>(23)</sup>. The BMD of the radius is generally decreased by 5-14%<sup>(5,8-10,26)</sup> compared with age- and sex-matched controls, although

# Fig 2 - Linear Regression Plots of BMDs among (a) Femoral Neck versus Ward's Triangle and (b) Lumbar Spine versus Right Wrist



in some studies there was little difference<sup>(11,12)</sup>. Women with Colles' fractures have also been found to have lower BMDs of lumbar spine and hip. Since decreased bone density is associated with decreased bone strength<sup>(28,29)</sup>, women with Colles' fracture are also at increased risk of vertebral and femoral neck fractures.

Our results demonstrate that despite a general decrease in BMD over the ultradistal region of the wrists in patients with past Colles' fracture as compared with the normal controls, the difference is not statistically significant. When the uninjured sides (which were also the non-dominant sides) of the patients were used for comparison, the ultradistal BMDs were significantly lower than those of the dominant sides but not of the non-dominant side of the control subjects. This may suggest that increased activities of the dominant side could contribute to such significant difference in BMDs, and hence the ultradistal BMD may not be a sufficiently sensitive indicator of Colles' fracture. This contrasts the recent finding by Eastell et al who succeeded in applying a gradient-of-risk approach to predict the pattern of Colles' fracture incidence with age in normal women based on ultradistal BMD measurements(30). Among the women with past Colles' fractures, the fractured sides showed an increase in ultradistal forearm BMDs, and this increase was statistically significant among those with left wrist fractures. This is in agreement with the finding by Finsen et al who believe that such increase was due to mineral changes induced by the healing process<sup>(31)</sup>. Such difference was however not observed at a significant level in patients with right wrist fractures. Patients with bilateral fractures showed a comparable level of BMDs on both sides.

Different regions of BMD measurements are also evaluated in this study. It has been suggested that lumbar spine measurement lacked predictive value with respect to the bone mineral content of the hip<sup>(32)</sup>. The results of this study confirm and expand upon previous findings, with the correlation coefficients between ultradistal forearm and either spine or femoral BMD measurements consistently falling below r=0,60. This is in agreement with the finding by Seldin et al in which all the r values fell below 0.50(33). The correlation between spine and femoral sites is better, ranging from 0.64 to 0.73. The spine measurement is closely correlated to the total body BMD measurement, and seems to provide an accurate measure (SEE=0.04) of the whole body mineral status. However, as the comparisons are associated with a high degree of variability evidenced by the large standard error of estimate (SEE), it would thus be difficult to determine with confidence the mineral content of any other part of the skeleton than the one being measured. Nevertheless, site-specific measurements of BMD are probably the best way to study osteoporotic fracture syndromes and to estimate fracture risk prospectively.

#### REFERENCES

- Peck WA. Epidemiology and clinical presentation of osteoporosis. In: Chesnut CH III. ed. Proceeding of First Asian Symposium on Osteoporosis. Asia Pacific Congress Series No. 84: Excerpta Medica, 1988;1-5.
- 2. Pun KK, Yeung RTT. Osteoporosis a silent epidemic. JAMA(SEA) 1987;6:5-6.
- Pun KK. Prevention and treatment of osteoporosis in Hong Kong. HK J Gerontology 1988;2:12-5.
- 4. Riggs BL, Melton LJ III. Involutional osteoporosis. N Engl J Med 1986;314:1676-86.
- Harma M, Karjalainen P, Trabecular osteopenia in Colles' fracture. Acta Orthop Scand 1986;57:38-40.
- Riggs BL, Melton LJ III. Evidence for two distinct syndromes of involutional osteoporosis. Am J Med 1983;75:899-901.
- Nilsson BE, Westein NE. Bone mineral content and fragility fractures. Clin Orthop 1987;125:196-9.
- Jensen GF, Christiansen C, Boesen J, Hegedus V, Transbol I. Relationship between bone mineral content and frequency of postmenopausal fractures. Acta Med Scand 1983;213:61-3.
- Nilsson BE, Westein NE. The bone mineral content in the forearm of women with Colles' fracture. Acta Orthop Scand 1974;45:836-44.
- Hesp R, Klenerman L, Page L. Decreased radial bone mass in Colles' fracture. Acta Orthop Scand 1984;55:573-5.
- Nordin BEC, Crilly RG, Smith DA. Osteoporosis. In: Nordin BEC. ed, Metabolic bone and stone disease, 2nd ed. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1984;1-70,
- 12. Krolnew B, Tonderold E, Toft B, Berthelsen B, Nielsen SP. Bone mass of the axial and

the appendicular skeleton in women with Colles' fracture: its relation to physical activity. Clin Physiol 1982;2:147-57.

- Horsman A. Bone mass. In: Nordin BEC. ed. Calcium, phosphate and magnesium metabolism. Edinburgh, London: Churchill Livingstone, 1976:382-4.
- Lamke B, Sjoberg HE, Sylven M. Bone mineral content in women with Colles' fracture: effect of calcium supplementation. Acta Orthop Scand 1978;49:143-6.
- Meerna S, Meerna HE. Evaluation of cortical bone mass, thickness and density by Zscores in ostcopenic conditions and relation to menopause and oestrogen treatment. Skelet Radiol 1982;8:259-68.
- Eastell R, Riggs BL, Wahner HW, O'Fallon M, Arnadio PC, Melton LJ III. Colles' fracture and bone density of the ultradistal radius. J Bone Miner Res 1989;4:607-13.
- Pun KK, Wong FHW. Importance of measurement of bone density in the management and treatment of osteoporosis. Singapore Med J 1990;31:390-6.
- Kotzi PO, Harong H, Sabatier JP, Marchadise X, Basse-Carthalinat B, Tourzey C. Comparative performance evaluation of dual-energy bone densitometers with a dedicated step phantom. In: Ring EFJ. ed. Current research in osteoporosis and bone mineral measurement. London: British Institute of Radiology, 1990:34-5.
- Owen RA, Melton IJ III, Johnson KA, Ilstrup DM, Riggs BL. Incidence of Colles' fracture in a North American Community. Am J Public Health 1982;72:605-7.
- Matkovic V, Kostial K, Simonovic I, Buzina R, Brodavec A, Nordin BEC. Bone status and fracture rates in two regions of Yugoslavia. Am J Clin Nutr 1979;32:540-9.
- Miller SWM, Evans JG, Fractures of the distal forearm in Newcastle: an epidemiological survey. Age aging 1985;14:155-8.
- Alffram P-A, Bauer GCH. Epidemiology of fractures of the forearm: a biomechanical investigation of bone strength. J Bone Joint Surg [AM]1962;44:105-14.
- Knowelden J, Buhr AJ, Dunbar O. Incidence of fractures in persons over 35 of years of age: a report to the MRC working party on fractures in the elderly. Br J Prev Soc Med 1964;18:130-41.
- Wong PCN. Epidemiology of fractures of bones of the forearm in a mixed South East Asian community, Singapore. I. A preliminary study. Acta Orthop Scand 1965;36:153-67.
- Pun KK, Wong FHW, Loh T. Rapid postmenopausal loss of total and regional bone mass in normal Southern Chinese female in Hong Kong. Osteoporosis International 1991;1:87-94.
- Eastell R, Wahner HW, O'Fallon WM, Amadio PC, Melton LJ III, Riggs BL. Unequal decrease in bone density of lumbar spine and ultradistal radius in Colles' and vertebral fracture syndromes. J Clin Invest 1989;83:168-74.
- Owen RA, Melton LJ III, Ilstrup DM, Johnson KA, Riggs BL. Colles' fracture and subsequent hip fracture risk. Clin Onhop 1982;171:37-43.
- Horsman A, Currey JD. Estimation of mechanical properties of the distal radius from bone mineral content and cortical width. Clin Orthop 1983;176:298-304.
- Melton LJ III, Choa EYS, Lane J. Biomechanical aspects of fractures. In: Riggs BL, Melton LJ III. eds. Osteoporosis: etiology, diagnosis and management. New York: Raven Press, 1985:111-31.
- Horsman A, Marshall DH, Peacock M. A stochastic model of age-related bone loss and fracture. Clin Orthop 1985;195:207-15.
- Finsen V, Benum P. Regional bone mineral density changes after Colles' and forehand fractures. J Hand Surg 1986;11B(3):357-9.
- Bohr H, Schaadt O. Bone mineral content of femoral bone and the iumbar spine measured in women with fracture of the femoral neck by dual photon absorptiometry. Clin Orthop 1983;179:240-5.
- Seldin DW, Esser PD, Alderson PO. Comparison of bone density measurements from different skeletal sites. J Nucl Med 1988;29:168-73,