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ABSTRACT

We report our first case of percutaneous balloon aortic valvotomy in a 72-year-old Chinese female with critical aortic stenosis and carcinoma of the stomach. The presence of critical aortic stenosis (mean aortic pressure gradient of 65 mmHg and an aortic valve area of 0.5 cm²) placed her at a high risk for gastrectomy. After balloon valvotomy of the aortic valve, the mean pressure gradient fell to 21 mmHg and the aortic valvular area increased to 1.0 cm². She improved clinically and subsequently underwent surgery with no haemodynamic complication. Overseas experience has shown that percutaneous balloon valvotomy can be done with little technical difficulty and excellent patient tolerance, resulting in good haemodynamic and clinical improvement and a low acute complication rate. Present follow-up data however suggest significant mortality and restenosis rates at the end of one year. Percutaneous balloon aortic valvotomy (PBAV) has a significant though narrowly defined role in the management of patients with severe calcific aortic stenosis.
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INTRODUCTION

Successful balloon valvotomy in adult patients with calcific aortic stenosis was first reported in 1986 by Cribier et al10, followed shortly by McKay et al10. It has provided a new modality of treatment of critical aortic stenosis in elderly patients in whom valve replacement is refused or contraindicated because of high operative risk. It also offers a viable nonsurgical approach for such patients who would otherwise be at prohibitive risk from a non-cardiac operation. We report a case of an elderly patient with critical calcific aortic stenosis who successfully underwent gastrectomy for carcinoma of the stomach and percutaneous balloon aortic valvotomy.

CASE REPORT

NT, a 72-year-old Chinese female, was admitted to the hospital for non-specific giddiness and exertional shortness of breath (NYHA Class II). She did not have angina or syncope. She was diabetic and hypertensive, on medication at primary outpatient level. Physical examination showed an ejection systolic murmur grade 3/6 along left sternal edge, radiating to the carotids with an anacrotic pulse of severe aortic stenosis. ECG showed left ventricular hypertrophy and the chest X-ray mild cardiomegaly.

Echocardiography confirmed heavily calcific critical aortic stenosis. Peak pressure gradient across the aortic valve on Doppler flow studies (Table I) was 116 mmHg and the mean pressure gradient 69.4 mmHg. Vmax was 5.8 ms⁻¹. The calculated aortic valve area was 0.4 cm² (continuity equation). There was accompanying mild aortic regurgitation. The mitral valve was mildly stenotic (mitral valve area 1.8 cm² by pressure half-time method). Mild concentric left ventricular hypertrophy was present, with good left ventricular function (ejection fraction 70%). There was mild tricuspid regurgitation.

She was found to have iron deficiency anaemia with a haemoglobin of 8.6 g/dL. The barium meal showed a raised lesion along the lesser curvature close to the incisura angularis, with an ulcerated centre. Histology of the biopsy specimen taken during gastroscopy subsequently confirmed a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma.

The patient was considered at a high risk for gastrectomy in view of the critical aortic stenosis.

Cardiac catheterisation showed a left ventricular pressure of 214/20 and aortic pressure of 152/58 (Fig 1). The aortic valve was heavily calcified with severely limited mobility. Left ventricular function was normal with thickened walls (EF 80%). Selective coronary arteriography did not show any significant coronary lesion.

Table I - Doppler Characteristics of the patient's aortic stenosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-PBAV</th>
<th>Post-PBAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak pressure gradient</td>
<td>116.0 mmHg</td>
<td>72.3 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pressure gradient</td>
<td>69.4 mmHg</td>
<td>40.8 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vmax</td>
<td>5.8 ms⁻¹</td>
<td>4.3 ms⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AV area</td>
<td>0.4 cm²</td>
<td>0.8 cm²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1 - Simultaneous aortic and left ventricular pressure tracings obtained (0 - 200 mmHg scale) in N.T.
Percutaneous balloon valvotomy was performed with a Cribier-Lotac balloon via the retrograde femoral approach. After collecting the baseline haemodynamic data and recording the aortic-left ventricular pressures, an exchange guide wire technique was used to advance the valvotomy balloon catheter across the aortic valve (Fig 2). A pressure-controlled hand pump was used to inject a 50:50 mixture of contrast medium and 0.9% saline solution to inflate the balloon to the point of rupture. After balloon valvotomy, the mean aortic gradient fell from 65 mmHg to 21 mmHg with an increase in calculated aortic valve area from 0.5 cm$^2$ to 1.0 cm$^2$ (Table I). There was no increase in aortic regurgitation or emboli. A repeat echocardiographic study done the following week (Table II) showed a peak pressure gradient of 72.3 mmHg and mean pressure gradient of 40.8 mmHg. Vmax was 4.3 ms$^{-1}$ and the calculated aortic valve area was 0.8 cm$^2$. The patient improved clinically and eventually underwent a successful gastrectomy/omentectomy with no occurrence of haemodynamic complication.

Fig 2(a) - Correct position of the balloon centred across the stenotic aortic valve during early balloon inflation

Fig 2(b) - Correct position of the balloon centred across the stenotic aortic valve during full balloon inflation

Table II - Haemodynamic data during percutaneous balloon valvotomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-PBAV</th>
<th>Post-PBAV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery pressure</td>
<td>37/16 mmHg</td>
<td>27/9 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aortic pressure gradient</td>
<td>65 mmHg</td>
<td>21 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic Valve Area</td>
<td>0.5 cm$^2$</td>
<td>1.0 cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The management of the patient with severe aortic stenosis has in recent years seen a new development. Until 1986, the only modality of treatment had been surgical correction. Open commissurotomy or valvuloplasty may be possible in a few with non-calcific stenosis, but in the overwhelming majority, the correction entailed valve replacement. A significant drawback, however, was the substantial peri-operative risk involved, particularly in elderly patients$^{28}$. In patients above 70 years of age, mortality rates ranged from 7% to 15%$^{46}$ and peaked at 20%-30% in octogenarians$^{29}$.

The first report in 1986 of Cribier et al of successful non-operative therapy of three elderly patients with severe calcific aortic stenosis$^{10}$, together with other early reports$^{30}$, have suggested that balloon valvotomy might provide effective palliation in such patient subsets. Following initial reports of successful balloon aortic valvotomy in elderly patients, larger series have appeared$^{30,31}$ (Table III). The mean age of Cribier's patient study group of 92 patients was 75 years. Valvotomy resulted in a reduction of the mean systolic pressure gradient from 75 ± 26 to 30 ± 13 mmHg and an increase in aortic valve area from 0.49 ± 0.17 to 0.93 ± 0.36 cm$^2$. Immediately after the procedure, the ejection fraction increased from 48 ± 16 to 51 ± 16%. There were only minor increases in the severity of aortic regurgitation. Subsequent follow-up showed marked clinical improvement although there were 3 in-hospital deaths and 8 late deaths. Catheterisation performed in 12 patients 4 to 24 weeks later showed that the haemodynamic improvement persisted. Similar results were noted in Schneider's series$^{30}$.

Table III - Summary of reported series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (first author)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No of Patients</th>
<th>Aortic gradient (mmHg)</th>
<th>Valve Area (cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laballdi</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribier</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKay</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schneider</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donah</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascual</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safian</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litovek</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinokuma</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letce</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These results were also verified later by a multicentre study involving 492 patients across Europe and North America (Mansfield Scientific Aortic Valvuloplasty Registry$^{32}$) which showed that balloon aortic valvotomy can result in acute haemodynamic improvement with minimal risk of increased aortic regurgitation. Acute complications were acceptably low. Thirty-one patients (6.3%) suffered acute catastrophic complication$^{33}$, viz ventricular perforation (1.8%), acute severe aortic regurgitation (0.8%), fatal cardiac arrest (2.6%), fatal cerebrovascular accident (0.4%) and limb amputation (0.6%).

When complications such as these developed, fatality rate was high at 77%. Overall mortality was 7.5% (37 patients$^{34}$). Multivariate analysis identified 4 factors associated with increased mortality: 1) the occurrence of a procedure-related complication, 2) a lower initial left ventricular systolic pressure, 3) a small final aortic valve area, and 4) a lower baseline cardiac output.

The mechanisms of balloon aortic valvotomy studied in 39 cases by Safian et al$^{35}$ include fracture of calcified nod-
ules, separation of fused commissures and grossly apparent micro-fractures. Liberation of calcific debris, valve disruption or midleaflet tears did not occur as was initially feared. The range of possible mechanisms together with the range of possible aetiologies of aortic stenosis may explain the heterogeneous results seen in balloon aortic valvotomy and may be an important factor in the time-course of valvular restenosis.

Although follow-up of balloon valvotomy is limited, there are sufficient data to show that mortality and restenosis rates are high in the first year following the procedure. From the Mansfield Scientific Aortic Valvuloplasty Registry at one year, the overall survival rate was 64% and the event-free survival rate (survival free of repeat valvotomy or valve replacement) was 43%. The results of the repeat or multiple valvotomy procedures in patients with valvular restenosis have not been much better.

As a result, use of the procedure thus far has been commonly limited to patients with severe aortic stenosis in whom risk of open heart surgery is high because of advanced age or concurrent illness (eg chronic obstructive airway disease) and also those with severe aortic stenosis and a second medical condition in need of surgical intervention (eg resection of a malignancy, fixation of a hip fracture, surgery for gastrointestinal bleeding). Studies are underway to define a role for balloon valvotomy in reducing the afterload and thereby slowing the natural history and delaying the need for operation. Conceivably too, the procedure may be used to predict the response following valve dilatation of patients with critical aortic stenosis characterised by a low aortic valve gradient with low cardiac output in whom valve replacement is contemplated.

The use of percutaneous balloon valvotomy in our patient here is the first well documented case in Singapore. The procedure proved a technical success and the patient experienced clinical improvement. This enabled her to undergo abdominal surgery for carcinoma of the stomach uneventfully. As at the time of writing, she has been discharged well.
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